

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 09/907,904 07/19/2001 Robert Y. Seward 10010879-1 7590 12/31/2003 **EXAMINER** HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY BOOKER, KELVIN E Intellectual Property Administration ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 2121

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)	
•		09/907,904	s	SEWARD, ROBERT Y.		
	Office Action Summary		Examiner	<i>A</i>	Art Unit	
	•		Kelvin E Booker	2	2121	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ars on the cover sh	eet with the cor	respondence add	Iress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisior SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty or period for reply is specified above, the maximum or to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136  nmunication.  (30) days, a reply of  statutory period will  ly will, by statute, of	s(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimur I apply and will expire SIX ( cause the application to be	may a reply be timely n of thirty (30) days w (6) MONTHS from the	rilled rill be considered timely. mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>19 <i>Jul</i></u>	<u>y 2001</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non-final.			
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		·			
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>						
Attachment						
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) 🔲 Noti		TO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO- Action.	

Application/Control Number: 09/907,904 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The aforementioned claims are directed at a method for selecting solutions without disclosing any *computer implemented processing*. Abstract ideas (see Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759) or the mere manipulation of abstract ideas (see Schrader, 22 F.3d at 292-93, 30 USPQ2d at 1457-58) are not patentable.

As disclosed, independent **claims one and eight** focus on nonfunctional descriptive material, which is inclusive of the mere arrangement of data without engaging functionality when employed as a computer component. Claiming nonfunctional descriptive material merely recorded on a computer-readable medium is deemed non-statutory because it fails to present functionality to facilitate practical application requirements (see MPEP 2106(IV)(B)(1)).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/907,904

Art Unit: 2121

4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson et al., "Genetic Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization: The Assembly Line Balancing Problem" [hereafter Anderson].

As per claim 1, Anderson teaches of a method of selecting a solution comprising:

A. creating first and second separate populations of parent solutions (see page 2, paragraphs 3 and 4, especially paragraph 4, section: "two new individuals...when mated");

B. combining at least one of the parent solutions from the first population with at least one of the parent solutions from the second population to create offspring solutions (see page 2, paragraphs 3 and 4, especially paragraph 4, section: "thus parents...when mated");

C. associating the offspring solutions with the first population (see page 2, paragraphs 3 and 4, especially paragraph 4, section: "thus parents...called crossover"); and

D. selecting second-generation solutions for the first population from the offspring solutions and the parent solutions (see page 2, paragraphs 3 and 4, especially paragraph 4, section: "this process...between individuals").

As per claim 2, Anderson teaches of a method further comprising keeping the second-generation solutions and discarding all remaining solutions in the first population (see page 15, paragraph 1: "first we can carry...progressing better").

As per claim 3, Anderson teaches of a method further comprising associating scores (e.g., fitness values) with each of the parent solutions and offspring solutions, and wherein the step of selecting comprises selecting the second-generation solutions based on the scores (e.g., fitness values) (see page 6, paragraph 2: "at the heart...selected for mating").

Application/Control Number: 09/907,904 Page 4

Art Unit: 2121

As per claim 4, Anderson teaches of a method further comprising combining at least one of the second-generation solutions from the first population with at least one second-generation solution from the second population (see page 2, paragraphs 3 and 4, especially paragraph 4, section: "this process…between individuals").

As per claim 5, Anderson teaches of a method further comprising mutating at least one of the second-generation solutions (see page 15, paragraph 1: "first we can carry ...progressing better").

As per claim 6, Anderson teaches of a method further comprising keeping the first population separate from the second population (see page 2, paragraphs 3 and 4, especially paragraph 4, section: "the process of crossover...close to the global optimum");

As per claim 7, Anderson teaches of a method wherein the step of combining comprises combining each of the parent solutions in the first population with at least one of the parent solutions in the second population (see page 2, paragraphs 3 and 4, especially paragraph 4, section: "this process...between individuals").

As per claims 8-14, the same limitations are subjected to in claims 1-7, respectively, therefore the same rejections apply (see claims 1-7 above).

As per claim 15-20, the same limitations are subjected to in claims 1-7, respectively, therefore the same rejections apply (see claims 1-7 above).

### Conclusion

5. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Application/Control Number: 09/907,904

Art Unit: 2121

- A. Afeyan et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0088458;
- B. Pelikan et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0055614;
- C. McHaney, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0095393;
- D. Nakisa, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0062296;
- E. Lyon, U.S. Patent No. 5,581,657;
- F. Nakisa, U.S. Patent No. 6,480,823;
- G. Koza, U.S. Patent No. 5,343,554;
- H. Davis, U.S. Patent No. 4,961,152;
- I. Anderson et al., "Genetic Algorithms for Combinatorial Optimization: The Assembly Line Balancing Problem";
  - J. Shapcott, "Index Tracking: Genetic Algorithms for Investment Portfolio Selection";
  - K. Allenson, "Genetic Algorithms with Gender for Multi-Function Optimisation";
  - L. Muhlenbein, "Genetic Algorithms";
  - M. Hoffmeister et al., "Genetic Self-Learning";
  - N. Yang, R., "Line-Breeding Schemes for Combinatorial Optimization";
- O. Koza, "Genetically Breeding Populations of Computer Programs to Solve Problems in Artificial Intelligence";
- P. Venkateswaran, "Cooperative Genetic Algorithm for Optimization Problems in Distributed Computer Systems".

6. An inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelvin Booker whose telephone number is (703) 308-4088. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM-5:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anil Khatri, can be reached on (703) 305-0282. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

K.E.B.

Art Unit 2121

December 22, 2003

ANIL KHATRI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER